THE LAW SALON

MAYHEM AND DEATH FOR PROFIT

- AN OBSERVATION -

JANUARY 28, 2023 IN THE YEAR OF THE FRAUD

PFIZER is a FOR PROFIT corporation.

PFIZER makes chemical compounds called vaccines.

The vaccines are sold and there is a profit margin built in.

In order for PFIZER employees to earn there must be sick people who would use what PFIZER manufactures and offers for sale.

Without customers (ill people), PFIZER employees do not earn salary or bonuses and stock holder don't enjoy return on their investment. Hence, PFIZER'S market is sick people.

Subsequent to the deployment and implementation of the PFIZER vaccine to arrest the spread of what has been named COVID, and to purportedly prevent acquiring COVID, there have been increased incidents of fatal events such as heart attacks and strokes regardless of age. Menstrual cycle disruption incidents have likewise increased. A new phenomenon, SUDDEN DEATH SYNDROME, has been identified with numbers of seemingly healthy people suddenly dropping dead increasing.

In fact, the purported vaccine has not prevented one from acquiring COVID contrary to the alleged and asserted design purpose.

Crime consists of the act and the intent to do the act.

Motive and opportunity are required to be established as well as the intent to do the criminal act, along with material evidence of the crime in order to achieve a conviction.

Murder, CALIFORNIA PENAL CODE §187, is the intentional killing of another human being.

Manslaughter, CALIFORNIA PENAL CODE §192, is the unintentional killing of another human.

Mayhem, CALIFORNIA PENAL CODE §203, every person who unlawfully and maliciously deprives a human being of a member of his body, or disables, disfigures. or renders it useless, or cuts or disables the tongue, or puts out an eye, or slits the nose, ear, or lip, is guilty of mayhem.

All are crimes. In order to prove any of them the accuser must establish motive, opportunity, the act, and the intent to do the act based on material evidence and proof beyond a reasonable doubt.

Again, subsequent to the deployment and implementation of the PFIZER so-called vaccine, there has been a significant increase of bodily damage, disruption to body functions and death.

Again, PFIZER is a for profit corporation. The more of the PFIZER so-called vaccine used the more profit for PFIZER employees and the stock holders.

PFIZER, given the corporation manufactures chemicals purportedly to arrest and prevent disease, has motive to manufacture and supply the purported COVID "vaccine".

The so-called vaccine is purchased using State and federal tax dollars and made available for free to everyone wanting to be vaccinated.

But for the purported "virus" named COVID, and the reporting of the so-called COVID virus by main stream news corporations that receive ad dollars from pharmaceutical companies, PFIZER enjoys opportunity for the sale of the so-called vaccine.

Prior to the existence of the so-called virus, PFIZER did not manufacture nor offer any vaccine to address a nonexistent virus, yet subsequent to the discovery of the so-called virus, PFIZER created and sold the chemical compound, to which it realizes profit, that was nonexistent prior to the discovery of the so-called virus. Hence, given the opportunity ("discovery" of the so-called virus), for the manufacture and sale of the chemical compound, based on initial and continued reporting of the so-called virus, PFIZER has realized and enjoyed significant profit due to the sale to and purchase by both State and federal government using tax payer dollars.

Money goes not from the recipient of the injection directly, but indirectly by their agents on State and government payroll. The people's agents on State and federal government payrolls are appropriating and using tax dollars for the purchase of the chemical compounds that *arguendo* are maiming and killing people, with the notable exception of State and federal government employees.

Nongovernment employees and the people are dying en masse while the employees of main stream news companies and employees of State and federal government are not, constitute observable disparity and disproportionality. In short, those reporting about the so-called virus and those using tax dollars to purchase the so-called vaccine to prevent getting and spreading the so-called virus, are not dying to the same extent as those watching and reading the news and who are not government employees.

CONCLUSION

PFIZER has motive and opportunity to manufacture and distribute the chemical compound that is correlated with the increase of mayhem, body function disruption, emotional and psychological and financial trauma and distress, and the death of those injected with the chemical compound. PFIZER is not alone given Johnson & Johnson, Moderna and other for profit pharmaceutical companies are now manufacturing and distributing similar chemical compounds to address a suspected man made disruptor of the human body, while they enjoy increased profit.

Arguendo, this constitutes crimes against humanity.

~~~~~~~~~~

Project Veritas has broken Pfizer's Gain-of-Function Research Program Wide Open.

Pfizer's research is dangerous, immoral and must be shut down now.

Robert W Malone MD, MS
Thursday, Jan 26, 2023

Yesterday I was asked to be a part of the Project Veritas video that exposes a Pfizer Director discusses making a "Mutating" COVID-19 virus for new vaccines via 'Directed Evolution' research. Of course, I was honored to help. **The above video** is the final product.

Discussions concerning the deep evil which underlies the COVID crisis has become common among the many communities which Jill and I visit while traveling the world.

Here is the rough beast in the flesh, slouching towards Bethlehem to be born. Devoid of empathy. Avarice its purpose. It's face glowing with joy, oblivious to the profound human tragedy it enables, reveling in the damage wrought to the web of humanity and the structure of a nation. Oblivious to the horror embodied in its words, in the very fabric of its language. Possessed by a deep belief in its own entitlement. Oozing dizzy narcissism. Celebrating corruption. Completely devoid of wisdom, introspection or self-awareness of the fundamental perversion of its soul.

A senior emissary from an immortal corporation, which courts have defined as having rights formerly restricted to human citizens, and which chronically preys and feeds on human suffering. A corporation which shrugs off massive fines for illegal activities as just another inconvenience, a mere business expense.

Look deeply into the face of this ambassador from another world, listen carefully to these worlds. This is how we got here. This is the culture that has given us this global human tragedy.

Behold the banal face of unrepentant evil.

Apparently there is additional video footage that will be released today.

Now, having seen, what are you going to do about it?

Highlights from the Video:
~~~~~~~~~~



• **Jordon Trishton Walker, Pfizer Director of Research and Development, Strategic Operations - mRNA Scientific Planner**: "One of the things we're exploring is like, why don't we just mutate it [COVID] ourselves so we could create -- preemptively develop new vaccines, right? So, we have to do that. If we're gonna do that though, there's a risk of like, as you could imagine -- no one wants to be having a pharma company mutating fucking viruses."

• Walker: "Don't tell anyone. Promise you won't tell anyone. The way it [the experiment] would work is that we put the virus in monkeys, and we successively cause them to keep infecting each other, and we collect serial samples from them."

• Walker: "You have to be very controlled to make sure that this virus [COVID] that you mutate doesn't create something that just goes everywhere. Which, I suspect, is the way that the virus started in Wuhan, to be honest. It makes no sense that this virus popped out of nowhere. It's bullsh*t."

• Walker: "From what I've heard is they [Pfizer scientists] are optimizing it [COVID mutation process], but they're going slow because everyone is very cautious -- obviously they don't want to accelerate it too much. I think they are also just trying to do it as an exploratory thing because you obviously don't want to advertise that you are figuring out future mutations."

The full Transcript:

Undercover Journalist: Pfizer ultimately is thinking about mutating Covid?

Jordon Walker: Well, that is not what we say to the public. No. Don't tell anyone this by the way. You have to promise you won't tell anyone. You got to promise you won't tell anyone, okay. You know how the virus keeps mutating?

Undercover Journalist: Yeah.

Jordon Walker: Well one of the things we're exploring is like why don't we just mutate it ourselves so we could preemptively develop new vaccines, right? So we have to do that. If

we're going to do that though, there's a risk of, as you could imagine, no one wants to be having a pharma company mutating a [inaudible 00:00:28] virus. It has to be very controlled to make sure that this virus that you mutate doesn't create something that goes everywhere.

Undercover Journalist: Something crazy.

Jordon Walker: Yeah. Because the way that the virus started in Wuhan, to be honest, it makes no sense of this virus pop out of nowhere.

Undercover Journalist: Yeah, I know.

James O'Keefe: Meet Jordon Trishton Walker, a director of research and development, strategic operations and mRNA scientific planning at Pfizer.

Undercover Journalist: It sounds like gain of function to me.

Jordon Walker: I don't know. It's a little bit different. I think it's different. It's definitely not gain of function.

Undercover Journalist: It sounds like it is. I mean, it's okay.

Jordon Walker: No, no, no, no. Though directed evolution is very different. Well, you're not supposed to do gain of function research with the viruses. They recommend not. But you do things like selected directional mutations to try to see if you can make more potent. So there is research ongoing about that. I don't know how that's going work. There better not be any more outbreaks, because like Jesus Christ.

Dr. Robert Malone: The gentleman seems to have absolutely no moral compass at all.

Jordon Walker: There's a revolving door for all government officials. It's pretty good for the industry to be honest.

Undercover Journalist: Yeah.

Jordon Walker: It's bad for everyone else in America.

Undercover Journalist: Why is it bad for everybody else?

Jordon Walker: Because if the regulators who have to approve our drugs, you know that once they stop being a regulator they want to come work for the company, they're not going to be as harsh on the company [inaudible 00:01:44] they're getting a job.

Dr. Robert Malone: If this is the quality of individuals within Pfizer that are making these huge decisions that risk global public health, it's profoundly corrupt.

Undercover Journalist: What is Pfizer doing, I guess, to optimize the vaccines now?

Jordon Walker: Oh, we actually had a meeting about that today. So there's a lot.

Undercover Journalist: Really?

Jordon Walker: I don't know if I should say this.

James O'Keefe: Our undercover journalist asked Walker how Pfizer is handling the fact that their Covid vaccines are ineffective against virus variants. What he said is disturbing. Listen to this.

Jordon Walker: We're exploring, you know how the virus keeps mutating?

Undercover Journalist: Yeah.

Jordon Walker: Well one of the things we're exploring is like why don't we just mutate it ourselves so we could preemptively develop new vaccines, right? So we have to do that. If we're going to do that though, there's a risk of, as you could imagine, no one wants to be having a pharma company mutating a [inaudible 00:02:44] virus. So we're like, do we want to do this? So that's one of the things we're considering for the future, like maybe we can create new versions of the vaccines and things like that.

Undercover Journalist: Okay. So Pfizer ultimately is thinking about mutating Covid?

Jordon Walker: Well, that is not what we say to the public. No. It was a thought that came up at a meeting and we were like, why do we not? It was like, we're going to consider that. There'll be more discussions. [inaudible 00:03:11] exactly actually, right? We were like, wait a minute... people won't like that.

James O'Keefe: That's right, it appears that Pfizer is internally discussing the possibility of mutating the Covid virus themselves in order to tailor a vaccine to sell to the public. Listen to Walker describe in detail just how they would conduct such a scientific experiment, first in living animals.

Jordon Walker: So the way that we were thinking about it, don't tell anyone this, by the way, you got to promise you won't tell anyone. You got to promise you won't tell anyone, okay? The way it would work is we put the virus in these monkeys and then we successively cause them to keep infecting each other and we collect serial samples from them and then the ones that are more infectious, so like the virus, we'll put them in another monkey and you just constantly actively mutate it, that's one way. Or you can even do like directed evolution, which we tend not to prefer, and you just sample what the different proteins on the surface on the virus look like over time. So there you can see the mutation and you can now force it to mutate in a certain way you want. But you have to be like very controlled to make sure that this virus that you mutate doesn't create something that goes everywhere.

Undercover Journalist: Something crazy.

Jordon Walker: Which, I suspect is the way that the virus started in Wuhan, to be honest. Like it makes no sense that this virus popped out of nowhere.

Undercover Journalist: Yeah, I know.

James O'Keefe: Covid virus experimentation on live monkeys? This is unethical, to say the least, and Walker describes those experiments as if they are ongoing and not simply a hypothetical discussion.

Undercover Journalist: So, I mean, when is Pfizer going to implement the mutation of all these viruses?

Jordon Walker: I don't know. It depends on how the experiments work out. Because this is just something we're trying, right.

Undercover Journalist: It sounds like gain a function to me.

Jordon Walker: I don't know. It's a little bit different. I think it's different. It's definitely not gain a function.

Undercover Journalist: Sounds like it is. I mean, it's okay.

Jordon Walker: No, no, no, no, no. Though directed evolution is very different.

Undercover Journalist: Direct evolution?

Jordon Walker: Directed evolution.

Undercover Journalist: Directed evolution, okay. So I mean, is that what it is then?

Jordon Walker: Maybe. I don't know. Well, you're not supposed to do gain of function research with the viruses. They recommend not. But you do things like selected directional mutations to try to see if you can make more potent. So there is research ongoing about that. I don't know how that's going to work. There better not be any more outbreaks, because like Jesus Christ.

Undercover Journalist: So tell me more, like what's developing with the whole virus mutation process?

Jordon Walker: Well, they're still kind of conducting the experiments on it, but it seems like from what I've heard they're kind of optimizing it. But they're going slow, because everyone's very cautious. They obviously don't want to accelerate too much. But I think they're also just trying to do it as an exploratory thing because you obviously don't want to advertise that you're trying to figure out future mutation.

Undercover Journalist: Right. So did the whole virus mutation thing come from your executive, Sarah?

Jordon Walker: No, no, no. That came from, we have two scientific officers in the other divisions.

James O'Keefe: In a subsequent meeting, our undercover journalist asked if this type of gain of function research is already being studied at Pfizer. But no, as long as it's called directed evolution, Pfizer's in the clear.

Undercover Journalist: What's the goal for Pfizer of doing that?

Jordon Walker: So part of what they want to do is to some extent try to figure out if like, you know how there's all these new strains and variants that just pop up? Why don't we try to catch them before they pop up in nature and we can develop a vaccine prophylactically for new variants.

Undercover Journalist: Yeah.

Jordon Walker: So that's why they're thinking if you control it in a lab, then you say "Oh, this is a new epitope". And so then if it comes out later on in the public, we already have a vaccine kind of working on it.

Undercover Journalist: Oh my God. That's perfect. Isn't that the best business model though? Just control nature before nature even happens itself, right?

Jordon Walker: Yeah, if it works.

Undercover Journalist: What do you mean, if it works?

Jordon Walker: Because some of the times there are just mutations that pop up, right, and we're not prepared for it. Like with Delta and Omicron and things like that. So who knows? I mean, either way it's going to be a cash cow. Covid will probably be a cash cow for us for a while going forward which I obviously like.

Undercover Journalist: Well, I think the whole research of the viruses and mutating it would be the ultimate cash cow.

Jordon Walker: Yeah, it would be perfect.

James O'Keefe: Now, you would think that creating viruses to sell the vaccine would be illegal. But no, the pharmaceutical industry, as Walker puts it, is quote "a revolving door for all government officials", unquote.

Jordon Walker: It's a revolving door for all government officials.

Undercover Journalist: Wow.

Jordon Walker: Yeah, for any industry though. So in the pharma industry, all the government

officials who review our drugs, mostly they come work for pharma companies. Like in the military, all the army and defense government officials eventually go work for the defense companies afterwards.

Undercover Journalist: How do you feel about that revolving door?

Jordon Walker: It's pretty good for the industry to be honest.

Undercover Journalist: Yeah.

Jordon Walker:Yeah. It's bad for everyone else in America.

Undercover Journalist: Why is it bad for everybody else?

Jordon Walker: Because if the regulators who have to approve our drugs, you know that once they stop being a regulator they want to come work for the company, they're not going to be as harsh on the company [inaudible 00:08:09] they're getting a job.

Undercover Journalist: Right.

Project Veritas - James O'Keefe: We talked to Dr. Robert Malone, physician, scientist, and author to get his take on the comments made by Jordon Walker.

Dr. Robert Malone: You're gaining function, you're creating a new function in virus one by adding elements from virus two, infecting one monkey and then another monkey. That's called serial passage. That appears to have been one of the technologies deployed in the Wuhan Institute of Virology with the humanized mouse strains that I believe were obtained from EcoHealth Alliance. That's an example of directed evolution.

The gentleman seems to have absolutely no moral compass at all about what he's doing. The hubris and arrogance and immaturity. If this is the quality of individuals within Pfizer that are making these huge decisions that risk global public health with such a casual disregard for the human toll, it's profoundly corrupt in terms of would it be feasible for Pfizer to circumvent international or national law? I think that is undeniable.

And the gentleman in your investigative work has clearly indicated that Pfizer believes that it has successfully captured the regulatory apparatus of the United States government and presumably worldwide. Pfizer has completed regulatory capture, is quite proud of it.

Project Veritas - James O'Keefe: With governments turning a blind eye and Pfizer hiding information from the public, this is an ongoing story. Be brave. Do something. Spread these videos and stay tuned.

Project Veritas' article on their transcript can be found here.

Pfizer Executive: 'Mutate' COVID via 'Directed Evolution' for Company to

Continue Profiting Off of Vaccines … 'COVID is Going to be a Cash Cow for Us' … 'That is Not What We Say to the Public' … 'People Won't Like That' … 'Don't Tell Anyone'

https://rwmalonemd.substack.com/p/project-veritas-has-broken-pfizers#play

A general definition of "fraud" is that it consists of false statements or false pretenses, or employment of any trick or device or means of deception, for purposes of defrauding another.
Ricks Estate (1911) 160 Cal. 467

"Fraud" is any deceitful practice in depriving or endeavoring to deprive another of his known right by means of some artful device or plan, contrary to the rules of common honesty.
Newman v. Smith (1888) 77 Cal. 22

"Fraud" is he unlawful appropriation of another's property by design.
Lightner Mining Company v. Lane (1911) 161 Cal. 689

"Fraud" means the deprivation of right, either by procuring something by deception or artifice, or by appropriating something wrongfully.
People v. Wilkins (1924) 67 Cal.App. 758

"Fraud" is any act that involves a breach of duty, trust, or confidence, and which is injurious to another, or by which an undue advantage is taken of another.
People v. Swenson (1954) 127 Cal.App.2d 658

Statements made with conscience knowledge of their falsity or in a reckless disregard of their truth constitute actionable "fraud".
People v. Davis (1952) 112 Cal.App.2d 286

In general, to establish a cause of action for "fraud" or "deceit" plaintiff must prove that a material representation was made; that it was false; that defendants knew it to be untrue or did not have sufficient knowledge to warrant a belief that it was true; that it was made with an intent to induce plaintiff to act in reliance thereon; that plaintiff reasonably believed it to be true; that it was relied on by plaintiff; and that plaintiff suffered damage thereby.
Hobart v. Hobart Estate Co. (1945) 26 Cal.2d 412
Hauser v. Wilson (1958) 164 Cal.App.2d 421

"Fraud" is the successful employment of cunning, deception, or artifice to circumvent, cheat or defraud another.
Brettaver v. Foley (1910) 15 Cal.App. 19

"Fraud" is the making of a false representation knowingly, or without belief in its truth, or recklessly careless whether it be true or false.
Hargrove v. Henderson (1930) 103 Cal.App. 667

"Fraud" is defined as the quality of being deceitful, as deceit and trickery, as the means by which deceit is practiced, as in law an intentional perversion of truth with the purpose of inducing another in reliance upon it to part with some valuable thing belonging to him or to surrender a legal right; a false representation of a matter of fact intended to deceive another so that he act upon it to his legal injury. Fraud in equity includes all acts, omissions or concealments by which one person obtains advantage in conscience over another or which equity or public policy forbids as being to another's prejudice; as acts in violation of trust and confidence, often called constructive fraud.
Dawson v. Martin (1957) 150 Cal.App2d 379

23 Cal.Jur.2d

BANCROFT - WHITNEY Co.

1955

FRAUD AND DECEIT
TO
GAS COMPANIES

FRAUD AND DECEIT

p. 66

§27. Assertion not Warranted by Information. – Actual fraud according to the civil code is committed where, with intent to induce another to act in reliance thereon, a person makes a positive assertion, in a manner not warranted by his information, of that which is not true, though he believes it to be true. If, therefore, one asserts that a thing is true within his personal knowledge, or makes a statement as of his own knowledge, or make such an absolute, unqualified, and positive statement as implies knowledge on his part, when in fact he has no knowledge of whether his assertion is true or false, and his statement proves to be false, he is as culpable as if he had willfully asserted that to be true which he knew to be false, and is equally guilty of fraud. This is the rule even though the one making the assertion believes it to be true, unless it appears that such belief is based on reasonable grounds.

One who chooses to make positive assertions without warrant cannot excuse himself by saying that the other party need not have relied on them; he must show that his representations were not in fact relied on. The assertion is true of what one does not know to be true is, as has been seen, false representation. And if a party intends simply to state his belief on information, he should stated in that precise form, so as to apprise the other party of the true grounds on which it is made. He should be held to make good his statement in the form in which he makes it.

In order to satisfy the requirement of scienter for actionable fraud, it may be established either that the defendant had actual knowledge of the untruth of this statements, or that he lacked an honest belief in their truth, or that the statements were carelessly and

recklessly made, in a manner not warranted by the information available.
Horrell v. Sante Fe Tank & Tower Co. (1953) 117 Cal.App.2d 114
Watt v. Patterson (1954) 125 Cal.App.2d 788

If one states a thing as true in general terms without qualification, he is presume to speak on his own knowledge or at his own peril and must make good his assertions.
Alvarez v. Brannan (1857) 7 Cal. 503
Muller v. Palmer (1904) 144 Cal. 305

False representations are legally fraudulent if made through ignorance, carelessness, or mistake, and the other party is deceived or mislead thereby.
Conlin v. Lewis Investment Co. (1915) 26 Cal.App. 388

An innocent misrepresentation of a material fact is considered constructive fraud because iof is effect of imposing on and deceiving the person to whom it is made.
Scott v. Delta Land & Water Co. (1922) 57 Cal.App 320
Brown v. Klein (1928) 89 Cal.App. 153

The test that determines whether a fraud is actionable is whether it would reasonably defeat or materially impair freedom of consent of the contractee.
Podlasky v. Price (1948) 87 Cal.App.2d 151

The lapse of several months between the time of the making of the false representations and the sale in question does not render the misrepresentations, relied on by the purchaser less actionable.
Conner v. Butler (1931) 113 Cal.App. 502

ADDITIONAL SOURCES FOR INFORMATION:

https://thelawsalon.net/vax.html
https://thelawsalon.net/vaxeadlines.html

QUESTION EVERYTHING
"Knowledge Is Power When Properly Applied"